Noninvasive cardiac output estimation from peripheral pressure and pulse wave velocity: A model-based study



Vasiliki Bikia¹, Stamatia Pagoulatou¹, Bram Trachet¹, Theodore G. Papaioannou², Nikolaos Stergiopulos¹

¹Laboratory of Hemodynamics and Cardiovascular Technology, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland

²Biomedical Engineering Unit, 1st Department of Cardiology, "Hippokration" Hospital, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece





ARTERY18 | 19 October 2018 | Guimarães, Portugal

Cardiac output monitoring

Introduction Methods Results

Discussion

Importance. CO monitoring is essential for patient management in the operating room and the ICU.

-> valuable information on global perfusion

<u>**Gold standard.</u>** Thermo-dilution using a pulmonary artery catheter set the basis for CO monitoring in clinical practice.</u>



Figure 1. Pulmonary artery catheter positioning and corresponding pressure waveforms in mmHg. Source: www.derangedphysiology.com, The Pulmonary Artery Catheter



Noninvasive monitoring & Challenges

<u>Pressure pulse analysis techniques</u> (some have been commercialized)

x still based on invasive recordings or they require invasive calibration

Thoracic bioimpedance

- **x** interference with electrocautery
- **x** patient's movement
- x arrhythmias may affect its accuracy

Photoelectric plethysmography

x simplified assumptions that can be unreliablex not adequately validated in human

Introduction Methods Results Discussion



Figure 2. Pulse contour CO monitoring method



Figure 3. PPG monitoring system



Motivation

Inter-subject variability requires theory-based CV models to be as **individualized** as possible (age, gender, hypertension, etc.).

Our approach:

To tune a 1-D arterial tree model to patient-specific standards using only noninvasive, easilyobtained peripheral measurement data





Mathematical model of the cardiovascular system

 $\begin{array}{c} A & 5 & 15 \\ A & 6 & 20 \\ 7 & 4 & 3 & 19 \\ 27 & 22 \\ 27 & 22 \\ 27 & 22 \\ 27 & 22 \\ 27 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 25 & 22 \\ 26 & 99 \\ 96 & 99 \\ 96 & 99 \\ 96 & 99 \\ 90 & 99 \\ 90 & 99 \\ 90 & 99 \\ 90 & 99 \\ 90 & 99 \\ 90 & 99 \\ 90 & 99 \\ 90 & 90 \\ 90 &$

Figure 4. Schematic representation of the arterial tree, adopted from Reymond et al.

- 103 arterial segments
- 1-D model of the vasculature: solves 1-D Navier-Stokes equations
- Local area compliance:
 C_A(pressure, location) [Langewouters et al.]
- 3WK coupled to the terminal sites
- Aortic flow input

P. Reymond, F. Merenda, F. Perren, D. Rüfenacht, and N. Stergiopulos, "Validation of a one-dimensional model of the systemic arterial tree," Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol., vol. 297, no. 1, pp. H208-222, Jul. 2009.

G. J. Langewouters, Visco-elasticity of the Human Aorta in Vitro in Relation to Pressure and Age. 1982.



ARTERY18 | 19 October 2018 | Guimarães, Portugal

Discussion

Introduction Methods Results

Methods

Two-layer optimization algorithm to tune the 1-D model to patient-specific standards



Figure 5. Schematic representation of the inverse method for noninvasive CO prediction



In vivo validation

Introduction

Methods

Discussion

 In vivo anonymized data (Mobil-O-Graph – derived brachial pressure, cf-PWV) from n=20 subjects (Age : 23 – 70) by Papaioannou et al.

	min	max	mean	SD
Central aortic SBP (mmHg)	83	154	124	14.78
Peripheral SBP (mmHg)	96	156	120.20	16.31
Peripheral DBP (mmHg)	31	95	76.05	8.87
Peripheral PP (mmHg)	36	61	44.15	10.38
MAP (mmHg)	72.67	115.33	90.77	10.83
Mean aortic flow (L/min)	3	6.20	4.34	14.69
HR (bpm)	51	98	70.90	10.04
cf-PWV (m/s)	5.25	11.25	6.89	1.92

Descriptive hemodynamic characteristics

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of the in vivo measurement data

T. G. Papaioannou et al., "First in vivo application and evaluation of a novel method for non-invasive estimation of cardiac output," Med Eng Phys, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 1352–1357, Oct. 2014.



ARTERY18 | 19 October 2018 | Guimarães, Portugal





Figure 6. Scatter plot between the "real" CO values from the *in vivo* data and the model-derived CO estimates (solid line represents equality).

Figure 7. Scatter plot between the "real" cSBP values from the *in vivo* data and the model-derived cSBP estimates (solid line represents equality).



Discussion

- The successful tuning of a 1-D model of the vasculature can be achieved by using noninvasive, easily-obtained peripheral measurement data.
- However, tuning is successful when we take into account additional characteristics of the subject (age, hypertension). Uniform changes in compliance don't apply to hypertensive and the elderly.
- Further validation against a large *in vivo* database will allow us to conclude that our method can potentially be employed for noninvasive monitoring in the clinical setting.



Thank you very much!

ARTERY18 | 19 October 2018 | Guimarães, Portugal

(PA