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Conclusion
The results indicate associations between increased AS and a positive FH of both diabetes and hypertension after adjustment for a self-reported history of the respective diagnoses. This shows that FH is a relevant marker of vascular ageing. Adding a GRS for diabetes or hypertension to the multiple regression models did not alter the significance of FH on AS indicating a more comprehensive role of FH than genetic predisposition alone, although this could also be explained by methodological limitations.

Objective
Arterial stiffness (AS) is known to be associated with a number of clinical conditions including hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia. AS may also be associated with lifestyle and early life factors, which are greatly affected by family history. The aim of this study was to investigate the association between self-reported family history (FH) and AS.

Design and method
The study population consists of 3056 individuals (mean age 72 years, 40% men) from the population-based Malmo Diet Cancer study, Sweden. Carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity (c-f PWV), a marker of AS, was measured with Sphygmocor®. Data on FH for diabetes, hypertension and cardiovascular (CV) events was retrieved from a questionnaire. Using multiple regression, adjustments were made for age, sex, mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) in Model 1, and in Model 2 further adjustment made for diagnosed diabetes or hypertension, respectively. In Model 3, the analyses were also adjusted for a genetic risk score (GRS) of type 2 diabetes (48 SNPs) and systolic blood pressure (29 SNPs).

Results
Table: Association between family history of diabetes, hypertension and CV events with c-f PWV in unadjusted and adjusted models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Family history</th>
<th>Unadjusted</th>
<th>Model 1</th>
<th>Model 2</th>
<th>Model 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Diabetes</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Hypertension</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- CV-events</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Mean and 95 % confidence interval of c-f PWV in individuals with and without a family history of diabetes, stratified for gender.

Figure 2: Mean and 95 % confidence interval of c-f PWV in individuals with and without a family history of hypertension, stratified for gender.

Figure 3: Mean and 95 % confidence interval of c-f PWV in individuals with and without a family history of cardiovascular events (stroke or MI), stratified for gender.
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